

Adoption of a Local Validation Checklist

APPENDIX 1

Summary of responses received

Name/Organisation	Areas of comment	Response
Redditch BC Adjacent LPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Likes format – clear and user friendly.• Suggests putting separate table on each page and using prompt at end to refer to glossary.• Suggests moving advice section to beginning of document.• Will a tick box be used?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Separating out will make document longer, but will be clearer to user. Format amended accordingly.• Prompts added to end of tables to refer to advice section.• Tick box will be used as back office system for registration
Birmingham City Council Adjacent LPA	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• No comment
David Edwards Partnership Non Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Clarity of requirement regarding plans if no external changes.• Is there to be a sustainable development mechanism as part of the LVC. If so suggest a fabric first approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Text amendment so no need to provide plans/elevations if no external changes• Not currently proposed
Wall James Chappell Non Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Objection to mandatory requirement for structural survey on LBC apps, is should be 'where relevant'	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Move requirement to where relevant section
Environment Agency Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Suggests additional references and updates links for EIA• Suggests additional references and updates links for Flood Risk• Suggest use of one section only and advises additional wording to provide emphasis. Updated web links and additional links with respect to contaminated land• Suggest use of one section only and advises additional wording respect to Foul Drainage	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Suggestions incorporated

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional criteria for Site Waste Management Plans <p>Supports text for sustainability statements needs to be added to part 3</p>	
British Waterways Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document is comprehensive but does not include specific reference to canals. Supports the submission of Archaeological, Heritage, Contaminated Land and Ecological assessments when developments are proposed adjacent to waterways. Also supports FRA, landscape schemes, lighting assessment, heads of terms, Site waste management plans and sustainability statements Proposes additional reference to finished floor levels and Policy document 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Case Officers discretion and flexibility of the LVC will enable the relevance of the canal and the need for associated reports to be highlighted in individual cases. Additional information included
English Heritage Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggests expanding range of criteria where a Heritage Statement is required. Suggests broadening statement of significance to include locally relevant assets. Additional text suggested in Archaeological Assessments, in Structural Reports and Landscape Plans and Design and Access Statements. These requirements will support assessment of proposals in compliance with PPS5. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expanded to include Registered Parks and Gardens and additional text. Expanded to include locally relevant assets Reference to Best Practice Guide added, additional text added.
The Coal Authority Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outlines Coal Authorities risk based approach to development management. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noted, but text amended within Planning Statement to give this requirement as an example of detail that may be included here.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledges extent of Coal Mining Development Referral Area is extremely limited in Bromsgrove and does not therefore object to exclusion of this requirement from the list. 	
Sport England Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided copy of requirements they need in order to comment fully on a planning application 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action needed
Highways Agency Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comment
WCC Ecology and landscape Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Considers requirements for submission of ecological assessment too limited. Additional suggestions to lists and updated information Encourages indirect consequences of noisy or light development and impact on ecology to be highlighted. Supporting information provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Criteria broadened. Suggestions added Indirect consequences noted. Information included
South Staffs Water Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not our area of supply 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consultation was also sent to Severn Trent who made no comment
Network Rail Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests that impacts from development that impact on level crossings be considered with respect to volume and nature of traffic using them. Applications that result in change be supported by Transport Assessment that assessed this impact. That developers be required to fund qualitative improvements as a result of development 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These matters will be considered by WCC as part of the TA as appropriate to the scheme and no specific amendment is needed to the LVC
The Theatres Trust Statutory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requests planning statements includes a justification for COU applications that involves theatres. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Text associated with Planning Statements amended to include this an example

<p>Dodford and Grafton Parish Council Statutory</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document gives valuable advice • No specific advice on COU e.g. Barn and Garage conversions or COU in a CA • No mention of costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change of use applications are considered under Full application types, they do not have a specific application form. • The text associated with Full applications has been amended to include this example. • The need for the relevant fee to be paid is part of the National Requirements
---	--	--